

Cited Author Index

The italic letters *f*, *n*, and *t* following a page number indicate that the cited name is within a figure, note, or table, respectively, on that page.

- Allen, Steven N., 54, 60, 332
- Balducchi, David E., 104, 108, 180, 180*n*8
- Barnow, Burt, 187, 212
- Baumol, William J., 107, 108
- Becker, Gary S., 345, 378
- Bell, Stephen, 303, 308
- Belton, Beth, 107, 108
- Benus, Jacob M., 10, 23, 24, 117, 118, 152, 152*n*15, 153, 157, 157*n*1
- Berger, Mark C., 33, 54, 59*n*1, 60, 80, 303, 308, 329, 330, 332, 333
- Black, Dan A., 54, 59*n*1, 60, 80, 80*n*6, 303, 308, 329, 330, 332, 333
- Chandra, Amitabh, 54, 60, 332
- Chapman, Bruce, 313, 325*n*4, 326, 327
- Chu, Dexter, 16, 24, 263*n*4, 267*n*3, 268
- Clements, Nancy, 369, 378
- Clinton, William J., 104, 108
- Corcoran, Mary, 273
- Corson, Walter S., 5, 23, 24, 39, 54, 73, 75, 81, 162, 164, 165, 180, 181
- Cr  mieux, Pierre-Yves, 325*n*5, 327
- Crossley, Thomas, 314, 327
- Danziger, Sheldon, 272, 273
- Danzinger, Sandra K., 273
- Davidson, Carl, 165, 180*n*15, 181
- Decker, Paul T., 5, 7, 12, 23, 24, 39, 55, 73, 81, 146, 147, 152, 162, 164, 165, 179*n*3, 180, 180*n*10, 180*n*11, 181
- DeRango, Kelly, 377*n*7, 378
- Dickinson, Katherine P., 5, 7, 24, 146, 147, 152
- Dunstan, Shari Miller, 81, 181
- Dynarski, Mark, 22, 24
- Eberts, Randall W., 3, 24, 241*n*4, 242*n*5, 244, 263*n*1, 268, 377*n*1, 377*n*8, 378
- Fallick, Bruce C., 361, 378
- Fortin, Pierre, 327
- Freedman, S., 241*n*1, 244
- Freeman, Lance, 39, 55, 81
- Friedlander, D., 244
- Gill, Andrew, 202, 213
- Gleason, Philip, 22, 24
- Gordon, Anne R., 81, 181
- Green, David, 312, 327
- Greene, William, 325*n*7, 327
- Gritz, R. Mark, 104, 108
- Grover, Neelima, 23, 152, 157
- Gueron, Judith, 241*n*1, 244
- Haber, William, 103, 108
- Haimson, Joshua, 39, 54, 81, 165, 180
- Hawkins, Evelyn K., 7, 24
- Heckman, James J., 189, 212, 304, 305, 308, 331, 333, 369, 378
- Heffin, Colleen, 273
- Hollenbeck, Kevin, 202, 212
- Hotz, V. Joseph, 331, 333
- Huang, Wei-Jang, 377*n*8, 378
- Ichimura, Hidehiko, 305, 308, 369, 378
- Jacobson, Louis S., 13, 20, 24, 193, 197*t*, 204*t*, 205, 209, 211, 212, 351, 378
- Johnson, Terry R., 23, 104, 108, 152, 157

406 Cited Author Index

- Kalil, Ariel, 273
Kane, Thomas, 202, 213
Kiefer, Nicholas, 326, 327
King, Christopher, 241*n*4, 244
Klepinger, Daniel H., 39, 55, 81
Kletzer, Lori G., 377*n*2, 378
Kline, Kenneth J., 165, 174, 179*n*5,
179*n*6, 180*n*7, 181, 378
Kracker, Kris, 378
Kreutzer, Suzanne D., 5, 7, 24, 146, 147,
152
Kruger, Daniel H., 103, 108
- Lah, David, 24, 153
LaLonde, Robert J., 13, 20, 24, 189,
193, 197*t*, 204*t*, 205, 209, 211,
212, 304, 308, 331, 333, 351, 369,
378
Lavoie, Claude, 325*n*3, 327
Lechner, Michael, 303, 308
Leigh, Duane, 179*n*1, 181
Leigh, Duane E., 202, 213
Levine, Judith, 273
Lillard, Lee A., 209, 213
Lin, W., 244
- Manski, Charles, 307, 308
Markey, James P., 361, 378
Messenger, Jon C., 6, 7, 24, 151*n*3, 153,
255, 268
Meyer, Bruce D., 5, 24, 73, 81, 180*n*14,
181
Murray, Merrill G., 103, 108
- Nicholson, Walter, 180*n*13, 181
Noel, Brett J., 60, 80, 333
- O'Leary, Christopher J., 3, 12, 23, 24,
165, 174, 179*n*3, 179*n*5, 179*n*6,
180*n*7, 180*n*10, 180*n*11, 181, 263*n*1,
268, 377*n*1, 377*n*8, 378
Olson, Jerome, 241*n*4, 244
Olson, Robert B., 81
Orr, Larry, 9, 24, 119, 153, 303, 308
- Parks, William, 361, 378
Pauly, Edward, 241*n*1, 244
Plesca, Miana, 303, 308
- Rangarajan, Anu, 16, 24, 263*n*4, 267*n*3,
268
Robb, Richard, 305, 308
Rosen, Daniel, 273
Rosenbaum, P., 369, 378
Rouse, Cecila, 202, 213
Rubin, D., 369, 378
Ruhm, Christopher, 205, 213
- Sargent, Timothy, 312, 327
Schexnayder, Deanna, 241*n*4, 244
Schochet, Peter Z., 16, 24, 263*n*4,
267*n*3, 268
Schoeni, Robert, 205, 213
Schwartz, Suzanne, 6, 7, 24
Schweder, A., 244
Seefeldt, Kristin, 273
Shaw, Kathryn L., 364, 379
Shen, Theodore, 23, 152, 157
Siefert, Kristine, 273
Smith, Jeffrey A., 60, 80, 189, 212, 303,
304, 308, 329, 331, 332, 333, 369,
378, 379
Smith, Peter, 325*n*4, 326, 327
Spiegelman, Robert G., 12, 25, 163,
165, 174, 179*n*5, 179*n*6, 180*n*7, 181,
182
Storer, Paul, 327
Sullivan, Daniel G., 13, 20, 24, 193,
197*t*, 204*t*, 205, 209, 211, 212, 351,
378
- Tan, Hong W., 209, 213
Todd, Petra E., 305, 308, 331, 333, 369,
378
Tolman, Richard, 273
Tolstoy, Leo, 271, 273
- Van Audenrode, Marc, 327
Vroman, Wayne, 10, 25, 130, 153

Wandner, Stephen A., 6, 7, 12, 24, 25,
151*n*1, 151*n*3, 153, 168, 179*n*3,
180*n*10, 180*n*11, 181, 255, 268, 313,
328
Wesa, Leslie, 312, 325*n*9, 328

Wolff, Edward N., 107, 108
Wong, Ging, 314, 325*n*9, 327, 328
Wood, Michelle, 23, 152, 257
Woodbury, Stephen A., 12, 25, 163, 165,
180*n*15, 181, 182

Subject Index

The italic letters *f*, *n*, and *t* following a page number indicate that the cited name is within a figure, note, or table, respectively, on that page.

- Admission date variable (Work First),
230
- Agency coordination, 70–71, 94, 95,
98
 - agency mergers, 386
 - effect on Canadian SOMS database,
294
 - Maryland, 387
 - See also* One-stop centers
 - See also* Staff
- Aggregate scores, 266
- Assessment, length of time for, 280
- Atlanta, Georgia
 - industry percentages of unemployed,
346*t*
 - percent change in earnings within
industries, 347, 348*t*, 351
 - predicted earnings in manufacturing,
357, 358–360*t*
 - probability of reemployment in the
same industry, 352–353*t*
- Australian worker profiling, 309–310,
313
- Automated data systems. *See* Data
systems
- Behavioral Foundation, 226, 231, 233*t*,
234*t*, 236
- Benefit claims. *See* Unemployment
insurance benefits
- Benefits
 - Canadian reemployment services,
321, 323*t*, 324, 331–332
 - community college courses for
dislocated workers, 207–209,
217–219
 - problems in determining, 382–383
 - reemployment bonuses, 173–175,
176–177*t*
 - Work First statistical model,
236–240
 - See also* Costs
 - See also* Earnings
- Bonus experiments. *See* Reemployment
bonuses
- British self-employment program, 116,
117
- Business cycles
 - reemployment services and, 107
 - targeting during, 3, 5, 41
- California SEA program, 121, 122–123*t*,
124, 152*n*16
 - costs, 137
- Canada
 - approaches to targeting, 17–18
 - dual labor markets, 292–293
 - long-term unemployment targeting,
309–333
 - reemployment services targeting,
283–308
- Canadian Out of Employment Panel
(COEP), 314
- Canadian provinces, unemployment
variations among, 312, 316
- CAP. *See* Claimant Assistance
Program
- Caseload reductions, 280
- Caseworkers. *See* Staff
- Cash bonuses. *See* Reemployment
bonuses
- Characteristics screens
 - pre-WPRS worker profiling, 42, 44
 - reemployment bonuses, 166

- Characteristics screens (continued)
 unemployment insurance programs,
 138–139
 WPRS system worker profiling, 6–7,
 30, 64
See also Statistical models of
 profiling
- Claimant Assistance Program (CAP),
 96–97
- Classes. *See* Community college courses
 for dislocated workers; High-
 return classes; Low-return classes
- Client Monitoring System (CMS), 286,
 288
- Clients
 choosing and identifying, 255–256
 different service needs, 270–273,
 279–280
 empowering, 383–384
 unknown attributes, 293
See also Dislocated workers
See also Staff
See also Unemployment insurance
 claimants
See also Welfare recipients
- CMS. *See* Client Monitoring System
- COEP. *See* Canadian Out of
 Employment Panel
- Community college courses for
 dislocated workers, 13–14,
 187–219
 cost benefit analysis, 207–209,
 218–219
 course completion rates, 195*t*,
 197–198, 199–202
 dropouts, 192, 194
 earnings losses from, 204–205
 effectiveness of, 210–212
 enrollment rates, 196, 198–200
 impact on later earnings, 202–206
 reasons for enrolling, 188–192
 subjects taken, 192–196
 subjects taken and subsequent
 earnings, 205–206, 217–218
- Connecticut, estimated effects from
 WPRS, 74*t*, 76*t*
- Contamination bias in estimation,
 46–52, 58–59
- Core services under WIA, 3, 4*t*
- Costs
 to displaced workers for training,
 191, 204–205, 218–219
 employment/training programs,
 331–332
 long-term unemployment in Canada,
 312
 need for models, 390
 problems in determining, 382–383
 reemployment bonuses, 173–175,
 176–177*t*
 SEA programs, 137–138
 services at one-stop centers, 341,
 342*f*
 in Work First model, 236–240
See also Benefits
- Customers. *See* Clients
- Cutoff values, constructing, 266,
 388
- Data systems
 difficulties in constructing, 304
 one-stop centers, 391–393
 welfare recipient services, 280
 WPRS, 68–69, 79–80, 90
 WPRS, in Georgia, 96
 WPRS, in Minnesota, 391
 WPRS, in Wisconsin, 92–93
See also Service and Outcome
 Measurement System
- Decision rules, 265
- Delaware SEA program, 122–123*t*,
 126–128*t*, 131, 152*n*16
 costs, 137
- Delay (in filing) variable, 37, 387
- Demographic characteristics
 choosing efficient targeting
 characteristics, 252–253
 dislocated workers, 190

- dislocated workers enrolled in college, 195*t*–202
- dislocated workers in Canada, 311–312, 314–318
- and earnings after community college schooling, 203–204, 205–206
- and predicted earnings, 357, 361
- state SEA program participants, 126–130
- welfare recipients in NLSY sample, 246–247, 248*t*
- Work First participants, 223, 224–225*t*
- See also* Characteristics screens
- See also* Variables
- Dislocated workers
 - benefit of targeting, 120–121
 - in Canada, 18, 309–333
 - data sources about, 113, 151*n*2, 310, 314
 - definition, 22*n*2, 179*n*1
 - demographic characteristics in Canada, 311–312
 - demographic characteristics in U.S., 17, 190
 - “duration dependence,” 313
 - industry/occupational changes, 343–344, 361, 364, 368*t*
 - networking among, 192, 198
 - with post-secondary schooling, 199–200, 202
 - profiling, 114–115
 - profiling, reactions to, 120
 - reemployment bonuses, 161–185
 - SEA programs, 115–116, 123*t*, 125–131
 - targeting UI non-filers among, 21–22
 - targeting WPRS services toward, 37
 - training, 13–14, 143, 187–219
 - why identify long-term, 329–331
 - See also* Reemployment services
- See also* Unemployment insurance claimants
- See also* Welfare recipients
- Displaced workers. *See* Dislocated workers
- Displacement effect on reemployment bonuses, 175
- DOL. *See* U.S. Department of Labor
- Duration models, 326
- Earnings
 - FDSS assessment, 344, 351, 356
 - in FDSS models, 356–361, 362–363*t*
 - first quarter, 42, 44
 - forgone during training, 191, 219
 - highest quarterly/base, 37
 - impact of community college schooling on, 202–206
 - pre-unemployment, 36
 - from self-employment, 119, 131–132
 - wage subsidy programs in Canada, 322, 323*t*, 324
 - welfare employability group participants, 238*t*, 239
 - welfare recipients in NLSY sample, 249–250*t*
 - WPRS impact on, 73–75
- Economic Dislocation and Worker Adjustment Assistance (EDWAA) programs, 5–6
- agency coordination under WPRS, 70–71, 94, 95–96
- definition of dislocated worker, 179*n*1
- effect of WIA on, 78
- funding of WPRS activities, 71
- relationship with WPRS, 5–6, 22*n*1, 68
- Economic growth. *See* Business cycles
- Education. *See* Community college courses for dislocated workers; Training
- Education variable in worker profiling models, 34

412 Subject Index

- EDWAA programs. *See* Economic Dislocation and Worker Adjustment Assistance programs
- Eligibility
 - reemployment bonuses, 12, 162, 163*t*, 165, 166–168
 - SEA, 119, 138–139, 146–147, 156
 - WPRS, 6
- Employability estimates algorithm, 369–370
- Employability Improvement Program, 286
- Employability index, FDSS, 368–369
- Employees. *See* Staff
- Employers attitudes
 - towards dislocated workers, 314
 - towards SEA programs, 146
- Employment, WPRS impact on, 75, 76*t*
- Employment and Training
 - Administration (ETA), 86, 106, 221
- Employment Insurance (Canadian). *See* Unemployment Insurance system
- Employment-related services. *See* Reemployment services; Services; Targeting
- Employment Services (ES)
 - changing role, 103–109
 - coordination with other agencies, 70–71, 94, 98
 - funding of WPRS activities, 71
 - importance to WPRS, 5–6, 7
 - state WPRS systems and, 68, 94, 95, 98
 - Workforce Investment Act impact on, 1
- Entry effect on reemployment bonuses, 175
- ES. *See* Employment Services
- ETA. *See* Employment and Training Administration
- Exhaustees. *See* Unemployment insurance claimants
- Exhaustion variable, 33
- FDSS. *See* Frontline Decision Support System
- Florida
 - UI outcomes by initial screens of claimants, 41*t*
 - UI outcomes by probability threshold status, 43*t*
 - See also* Job Search Assistance demonstration
- Formal targeting, 2–3
- French self-employment program, 116, 117, 303, 306–307
- Frontline Decision Support System (FDSS), 19–20, 337–384, 393, 395
 - earnings assessment, 344, 351, 356
 - employability estimates algorithm, 369–370
 - employability index, 369
 - future lines for development, 303, 306–307, 381–384
 - industry transition component, 333–334
 - mitigation of WIA impact, 381
 - modules, 341, 343*f*
 - within one-stop centers, 339–345, 393
 - purpose, 338
 - related-occupations algorithm, 344, 361, 364–366
 - service referral module, 344–345, 366–373
 - systematic job search module, 19, 341, 343–366
- W.E. Upjohn Institute pilot, 19, 338–339, 395
- Funding
 - job search services, 389
 - schooling of dislocated workers in Washington, 194
- Self-Employment Demonstration Projects, 117
- states' SEA programs, 122–123*t*, 124–125

- U.S. Department of Labor grants, 71, 78, 86, 103, 106
 - and worker profiling, 392
 - of WPRS, 71, 93–94, 95–96, 97, 98–99
- Georgia
 - FDSS pilot, 20, 338
 - WPRS experience, 95–97
 - See also* Atlanta, Georgia
- Goodwill, 226, 230, 233*t*, 234*t*, 235, 236
- Government benefits/costs from
 - reemployment bonuses, 173, 174
- Health and Human Services (HHS)
 - Department. *See* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- High-return classes, 194–195
 - benefits and costs of, 207–209
 - impact on earnings, 205, 210–211
 - See also* Low-return classes
- Human capital framework for training, 190–191
- Human Resources Development
 - Canada, 17, 283, 284, 286, 288
- Illinois
 - estimated effects from WPRS, 74*t*, 76*t*
 - reemployment bonus experiment, 162, 163*t*, 164*t*, 165, 166, 167*t*
- Individual Training Accounts, 215
- Industry transition component of FDSS, 333–334, 345–351
- Industry variable in worker profiling
 - models, 35
- Informal targeting, 2
- Information and decision-making, 211–212
- Intensive reemployment services under WIA, 3, 4*t*
- Interventions. *See* Services
- ITAs (Individual Training Accounts). *See* Individual Training Accounts
- Job Bank, 392
- Job Corps program, 22
- Job Creation Projects, 321, 322
- Job Opportunities, 321, 322, 324
- Job retention and advancement services, 16, 245–280
 - characteristics of sampled welfare recipients, 246–250, 251*t*
 - Health and Human Services
 - Department role, 275–276
 - multivariate targeting analysis, 265–267
 - programs for welfare recipients, 245–246
 - recommendations about targeting, 261–262, 263–273, 275–276
 - scope of the problem, 247, 278, 280
 - steps in targeting, 250–255
 - targeting using national data, 255–261
 - See also* Welfare-to-Work programs
- Job search
 - duration of, 313–314
 - effect of training on, 191–192
 - FDSS tools for, 339, 341, 343
 - in related occupations, 361, 364–366, 368*t*
 - within same industry, 351–361, 362–363*t*
- Job Search Assistance (JSA)
 - demonstration, 39, 47, 52, 75
- Job search services. *See* Services
- Job tenure variable in worker profiling
 - models, 34
- Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA), 3
 - compared with WIA, 4*t*
 - relationship with SEA, 124
 - relationship with WPRS, 94, 98
- Joblessness. *See* Unemployment
- JSA demonstration. *See* Job Search Assistance demonstration
- JTPA. *See* Job Training Partnership Act

414 Subject Index

- Kentucky, estimated effects from
WPRS, 74*t*, 76*t*
- Kentucky Profiling Model, 57–60
- Knowledge Product Strategy, 284
- Labor Department. *See* U.S. Department
of Labor
- Labour Force Survey (LFS), 310
- Local Area Unemployment Statistics
program, 35–36
- Long-term unemployment. *See*
Dislocated workers
- Low-return classes, 195
impact on earnings, 205–206, 210
See also High-return classes
- Maine, estimated effects from WPRS,
74*t*, 76*t*
- Maine SEA program, 122–123*t*, 125,
131
characteristics of participants,
126–128*t*, 129, 130
costs, 137
outcomes, 132, 133, 134–135*t*, 136
profiling model, 140–141*t*, 142
- Maryland
Administration for Children and
Families project, 277
WPRS test project, 31, 387
- Maryland SEA program, 122–123*t*,
124
profiling model, 140–141*t*
- Massachusetts, Self-Employment
Demonstration Project, 9, 114,
116–118, 155
- Minnesota programs, 390–393
- Mississippi, effects from WPRS, 80*n*5
- Multiple-characteristic approach, 253,
254, 255
advantages of using, 257, 258–259
implementing, 260–261
- Multiple employer variable, 37–38
- NAFTA. *See* North American Free
Trade Agreement
- National Longitudinal Survey of Youth
(NLSY), 246, 247
targeting welfare recipients with,
255–261
- Networking among unemployed
workers, 192, 198
- New Jersey
estimated effects from WPRS, 74*t*,
76*t*
reemployment bonus experiment,
163–164, 165, 166, 167*t*
UI outcomes by initial screens of
claimants, 41*t*
UI outcomes by probability threshold
status, 43*t*
UI Reemployment Demonstration
Project, 39, 75
- New Jersey SEA program, 122–123*t*,
124, 125, 131
characteristics of participants,
126–128*t*, 129–130
costs, 137–138
outcomes, 133, 134–135*t*, 136
profiling model, 139, 140–141*t*, 142
- New York SEA program, 122–123*t*, 125
characteristics of participants,
126–128*t*, 129, 130
costs, 137
outcomes, 132, 133, 134–135*t*, 136
profiling model, 139, 140–141*t*,
142–146
- NLSY. *See* National Longitudinal
Survey of Youth
- Noncitizen Benefit Clarification and
Other Technical Amendments
Act, 119
- North American Free Trade Agreement
(NAFTA), 9, 125
- Occupation variable in worker profiling
models, 35
- Occupations, FDSS identification of
related, 344, 361, 364–366
- OECD. *See* Organization for Economic
Co-operation and Development

- Office staff. *See* Staff
- One-stop centers, 19–20, 337–384, 391–393
 challenges, 337–338, 341
 costs of services, 341, 342*f*
 databases, 391–393
 frontline decision support within, 19–20, 339–345
 legal requirements, 340
 services offered, 340
- O*Net system, 364, 365, 366*t*
- Oregon SEA program, 122–123*t*, 124, 131
 characteristics of participants, 126–128*t*, 129
 costs, 137
 outcomes, 132, 133, 134–135*t*, 136
 profiling model, 139, 140–141*t*, 142
- Oregon WPRS experience, 97–102
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2–3
- Outcomes, defining, 252
- Part-time workers. *See* Underemployed workers
- Pennsylvania
 Allegheny country displaced worker training analysis, 211
 reemployment bonus experiment, 163*t*, 164*t*, 164–165, 166, 167*t*
 reemployment bonus profiling evaluation, 168, 169*t*, 169–175, 176–177*t*
- Pennsylvania SEA program, 121, 122–123*t*, 124–125
 profiling model, 139, 140–141*t*, 142
- Performance measures
 approaches under JTPA, 187–188
 and individual decision-making, 206–210
 usefulness of, 187, 188
 WIA, 4*t*
- Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA), 14, 245, 250, 277
- Postemployment services, 16–17, 278
- PowerCubes, 290
- Pre-unemployment earnings variable, 36
- Profiling. *See* Statistical models of profiling; Targeting; Worker profiling
- PRWORA. *See* Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act
- Public Law 103–153, 61
- Public Law 105–306, 114, 119
- Qualification. *See* Eligibility
- Ratio of earnings variable, 37
- Recession. *See* Business cycles
- Reemployment Act, 11, 104
- Reemployment bonuses, 11–13, 161–185
 eligibility for, 162, 163*t*, 165, 166–168
 experiments, 11–13, 162–165
 net benefits and costs, 173–175, 176–177*t*
 probability thresholds for, 170–173
 recommendations, 175, 178
 time limits for collecting, 162, 163*t*, 166
- Reemployment services
 in Canada, 283–308
 Canadian reemployment programs, 322*t*
 data source proxy for, 105
 in Georgia, 95, 96
 impacts, 317, 321–324, 331
 job and career centers, 100
 origin of the concept, 103
 provided and required within WPRS, 66–68, 79, 89–90
 reasons for increase of, 106–107
 versus retraining, 210

- Reemployment services (continued)
 targeting to long-term unemployed,
 309
 under WIA, 4*t*
 WPRS providers of, 7, 68
 WPRS rate of referrals to, 6, 73
See also Self-Employment
 Assistance program
See also Services
See also Training
- Reemployment Services Grants, 78, 79
- Regional indicators of likely benefit
 exhaustion, 35–36, 58
- Reports
 about unemployment insurance
 claimants, 104–106
 of SEA activities, 160
 WPRS outcome, 69–70, 80
- Requirements. *See* Eligibility
- Research methods
 avoiding bias in worker profiling
 scores, 88–89
 contamination bias in estimation,
 44*t*, 46–52, 58–59
 data mining, 290
 dependent variables in worker
 profiling models, 32–34
 duration models, 326
 effect of missing data, 293
 estimating returns to education,
 217–219
 industry earnings models, 347,
 351–361
 long-term unemployment
 (Weibull/probit) models, 314,
 316–317, 326–328, 331
 multivariate targeting, 265–267
 obtaining self-employment earnings
 data, 131–132
 reemployment bonus targeting
 simulations, 169–170, 175, 178
 reemployment bonus treatment
 designs, 163–164*t*
 SEA profiling model problems,
 156–157, 159
 SEA program outcomes caveats, 137
 selection bias, 270, 369
 “selection on observables,” 304–305
 self-selection bias, 292
 single-versus multiple characteristic
 targeting, 257–261
 SOMS modeling approach details,
 297–300
 steps for making targeting decisions,
 250–255
 Work First pilot, 228
 Work First pilot, evaluation,
 231–232
See also Statistical models of
 profiling
- Retraining. *See* Training
- SBDC. *See* Small Business
 Development Centers
- SCORE. *See* Service Corps of Retired
 Executives
- Screens. *See* Characteristics screens
- SEA program. *See* Self-Employment
 Assistance program
- Selection bias, 270, 369
- Self-Employment Assistance (SEA)
 program, 9–11, 113–160,
 322–324
 benefit-cost analysis, 137–138,
 156–157
 in Canada, 322, 323*t*, 324
 demonstration projects, 116–118,
 156–157
 European influences on, 116, 117
 issues and recommendations,
 142–149, 155–157, 159–160
 legal requirements, 118–119, 125
 origin of, 113–115
 participants, 125–131, 136–137, 149
 performance monitoring of, 160
 purpose and goals, 115–116
 state program data, 121–138
 time limit for participation, 155–156
 worker profiling, 9–11, 119–121,
 138–142

- worker profiling, concerns about, 147–149, 156–157, 159
- Self-selection bias, 292
- Service and Outcome Measurement System (SOMS), 17–18, 283–308
 - attitudes towards, 285, 289, 290–291
 - background, 284–285
 - beta testing, 288–289
 - business planning tool, 290–291
 - components, 283
 - database, 285–286
 - database interface, 287*f*
 - future lines for development, 306–307
 - initial reaction of staff to, 288
 - link-ups with other data systems, 288–289
 - modeling approach, 297–300, 303–305
 - objectives, 283, 284–285
 - origin of the name, 288
 - predictive modeling component, 291–293
 - recent developments and recommendations, 293–295, 306–307
- Service Corps of Retired Executives (SCORE), 125
- Service referral module of FDSS, 19–20, 344–345, 366–373
- Services
 - choosing among, 291–293, 331
 - choosing variables for allocating, 303–304
 - combining providers of, 222–223
 - for different clienteles, 270–273, 279–280
 - FDSS program, 19–20
 - one-stop centers, 340
 - postemployment, 16–17, 278
 - predicting which work best, 292–293
 - problems with coding, 305–306
 - SEA, 124, 143, 160
 - self-help, 96–97, 100, 340
 - sequenced, 306, 331, 370, 372–373, 381
 - timing of, 266, 276, 300*n*2, 321, 389
 - transparent, 96
 - trends in labor exchange services, 104–105
 - tying funding to positive results, 381–382, 392
 - Vollman wedge model, 386, 390
 - “What Works Best Solution,” 287
 - Work First, 373–376
 - WPRS, 65*t*–68, 77–78, 79
 - See also* Job retention and advancement services
 - See also* Reemployment services
 - See also* Training
- SIC codes. *See* Standard Industrial Classification codes
- Single-characteristic approach, 253–254, 255, 257, 259
- SJSA programs. *See* Structured Job Search Assistance programs
- Skills mismatch, remedying, 21
- Skills training, 103–104
- Small Business Development Centers (SBDC), 124, 150
- Social Policy Research Associates and Mathematica Policy Research, 61
- Social Security Act, 61
- Societal benefits/costs from reemployment bonuses, 173–174
- SOMS. *See* Service and Outcome Measurement System
- South Carolina
 - estimated effects from WPRS, 74*t*, 76*t*
 - worker profiling, 387–390
- South Puget Sound, Washington
 - industry percentages of unemployed, 349*t*
 - percent change in earnings within industries, 347, 350*t*, 351

- South Puget Sound, WA (continued)
 - predicted earnings in manufacturing, 357, 362–363*t*
 - probability of reemployment in the same industry, 354–355*t*
- Staff
 - attitudes towards WPRS system, 391–392
 - FDSS, 341, 344, 383–384
 - profiling and, 8, 144–145, 288, 303, 384
 - training for, 390
 - See also* Agency Coordination
 - See also* Clients
 - See also* Data systems
- Standard Industrial Classification (SIC)
 - codes, 35
- State administrators' opinions
 - about current situation and future plans, 385–393
 - about WPRS system, 71, 91–101
- States
 - coordination of agencies within, 70–71, 94, 98
 - with FDSS pilot programs, 20
 - percentage referring profiled UI claimants to services, 66*t*
 - reemployment bonus experiments, 11–13
 - reemployment bonus profiling models, 168–178
 - with SEA programs, 9–10, 121
 - that implemented both WPRS and SEA, 142
 - use of WPRS profiling models in the Southeast, 92
 - WPRS program recommendations for, 77–80
 - WPRS variables added by, 36–38, 330
- Statistical models of profiling
 - best one for targeted reemployment bonuses, 12–13
 - contamination bias, 44*t*, 46–52, 58–59
 - dependant variable, 32–34
 - future lines for development, 306–307
 - long-term unemployment in Canada, 312–317
 - multivariate targeting analysis, 265–267
 - the need to update, 46, 77
 - other options for using, 20–22
 - reemployment bonuses, 168–178
 - SEA programs, 9, 139
 - Welfare-to-Work programs, 15–16
 - Wisconsin, 92–93
 - Work First program, 227–231, 240–241
 - WPRS programs, 6–7
 - See also* Characteristics screens
 - See also* Research methods
 - See also* Worker profiling
- Structured Job Search Assistance (SJSA) programs, 52
- Systematic job search module of FDSS, 19, 341, 343–366
- TANF. *See* Temporary Assistance to Needy Families
- Targeting
 - business cycles and, 3, 5, 41, 46, 395
 - customer attitudes towards, 143, 144–145, 383–384, 386
 - definition, 1–2
 - informal versus formal, 2
 - as innovation *de jour*, 303
 - with national or local information, 255–256
 - for need versus value-added, 270–273
 - single or multiple characteristic approach, 253–354
 - staff attitudes towards, 289, 291, 383–384
 - steps for making decisions about, 250–255
 - uses for, 8–20, 276, 278

- why do or not do, 173–175, 178, 262–263, 387, 391
- WIA impetus for, 1, 3–5
- WPRS use of, 5–8
- See also* Statistical models of profiling
- See also* Worker profiling
- Telephone claims, 85–86, 93, 386
- Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), 14, 245
- Texas, effects from WPRS, 80*n*5
- Title III funding, 124
 - and database systems, 392
 - WPRS, 95, 96
 - See also* Job Training Partnership Act
- Training
 - in Canada, 322, 323*t*, 331
 - cost components, 191
 - dislocated workers, 13–14, 143
 - dislocated workers' motivations for seeking, 188–192
 - high-skills workers, 205–206, 210
 - identifying clients' needs for, 365
 - jobs as best form of, 351, 381
 - offered by one-stop centers, 340
 - offered under WIA, 3, 4*t*
 - older workers, 208–209
 - providing cost/benefit information about, 211–212
 - rise of skills training, 103–104
 - subject areas with low and high-return earnings impacts, 194–195, 205–206
 - welfare recipients and low-wage workers, 20–21
 - See also* Community college courses for dislocated workers
- Transformer (software), 290
- UIS. *See* Unemployment Insurance Service
- Underemployed workers, 21, 310
 - and unemployment insurance, 317
- Unemployed workers. *See* Dislocated workers
- Unemployment insurance benefits
 - defining benefit exhaustion, 33
 - delay in filing for, 37, 387
 - exhaustion probability thresholds, 44–45, 142, 144, 145, 148–149
 - exhaustion rate in Canada, 317, 331
 - exhaustion rate in U.S., 42, 48–50
 - non-filers, 21–22
 - predicting benefit exhaustion, 29–60, 368–369
 - self-employed recipients, 10
 - waiting week, 179*n*4
 - WPRS impact on, 73–75
 - See also* Worker profiling
- Unemployment insurance claimants
 - attitude to being profiled, 143
 - attitude to being rejected by SEA, 144–145
 - attitude towards WPRS services, 67–68
 - coding of, 33
 - considering best interests of, 184–185
 - customized services for individuals, 67, 96
 - “duration dependence,” 313
 - Georgia assistance program, 95
 - Georgia statistics about, 97
 - how to increase referrals to WPRS, 78–79
 - identification of and referral to services, 63–66
 - more likely to exhaust benefits, 31, 38–46
 - more likely to find jobs, 368–369
 - more likely to return to previous employer, 44, 45
 - Oregon statistics about, 99
 - outcomes from WPRS services, 72–76, 79–80
 - penalties for non-cooperation with WPRS, 69, 79
 - progress in WPRS services, 68–69

- Unemployment ins. claimants (cont.)
 - statistics from quarterly reports to DOL, 104–106
 - variables for predicting benefit exhaustion by, 33–38, 320*t*
 - Wisconsin statistics about, 95
 - workshops for, 66, 380
 - WPRS effect on those not in the program, 71
 - WPRS outcome report, 69–70, 80
 - See also* Characteristics screens
 - See also* Dislocated workers
 - See also* Reemployment bonuses
 - See also* Telephone claims
- Unemployment insurance duration (or spells) variable, 33, 36–37, 42
- Unemployment Insurance Service (UIS), 83–86
- Unemployment Insurance system
 - agency coordination under WPRS, 70–71, 94
 - alternative names for, 332*n*1, 391
 - benefits/costs of reemployment bonuses, 173, 174, 184
 - eligibility reviews, 380
 - role in WPRS system, 5–6, 7
 - Self-Employment Demonstration Projects, 113–114, 116–118
 - variable used for targeting, 303
 - wage replacement rate, 36
- Unemployment rate and long-term employment incidence, 311
- Unemployment rate variable in worker profiling models, 35–36
- Union hiring halls, 23*n*3
 - See also* Eligibility
- Unionization
 - effect on earnings within industries, 357
 - effect on WPRS, 94–95
- Universal Reemployment Initiative, 78
- Upjohn Institute for Employment Research. *See* W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) job retention projects, 16, 22, 275–276
- U.S. Department of Labor (DOL)
 - Employment and Training Administration, 86, 106, 221
 - evaluation of SEA program, 9–10
 - evaluation of UI Self-Employment Demonstration projects, 117–118
 - evaluation of WPRS, 5, 7–8, 61
 - Frontline Decision Support System pilot, 19–20
 - grants, 71, 78, 86, 103, 106
 - guidelines for SEA programs, 10–11, 119
 - O*Net system, 364, 365, 366*t*
 - recommendations to, 65, 78, 150
 - relationship with SEA program, 149–150
 - role in FDSS, 338
 - UI demonstration programs, 39, 113–114, 116–118, 156–157
 - Unemployment Insurance Service, 83–86
 - Welfare-to-Work programs, 14, 15–16
 - WPRS outcome report, 69–70, 80
 - WPRS system model, 31–32
- Utah's worker profiling, 385–387
- Variables
 - Canadian long-term unemployment, 318–319*t*
 - different reasons for choosing, 303–304
 - employability model for welfare recipients, 370, 371*t*
 - FDSS model earnings predictions within industries, 357
 - most desirable, 251, 252–253, 280
 - predicting long periods without employment, 17
 - predicting long-term unemployment, 314, 315–316*t*

- predicting UI benefit exhaustion in Canada, 320*t*
 - predicting welfare recipients' labor market outcomes, 256–261
 - removing less useful, 265–266
 - SEA model, 139
 - using single or multiple, 253–255
 - Work First pilot program, 224–225*t*, 227, 228–230, 242*n*7
 - in worker profiling models, 33–38, 57–60, 387
 - See also* Demographic characteristics
- Vollman wedge model, 387, 390
- Wage subsidy programs in Canada, 322, 323*t*, 324
- Wages. *See* Earnings
- Wagner-Peyser Act, 103
 - See also* Employment Services
- WARN. *See* Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification
- Washington
 - dislocated worker training, 192–196
 - employability model for welfare recipients, 370–376
 - FDSS pilot program, 20, 338
 - reemployment bonus experiment, 163*t*, 164*t*, 164–165, 166, 167*t*
 - reemployment bonus profiling evaluation, 168–175, 176–177*t*
 - Self-Employment Demonstration Project, 9, 114, 116–118, 156–157
 - See also* South Puget Sound, Washington
- Washington, D.C.
 - UI outcomes by initial screens of claimants, 41*t*
 - UI outcomes by probability threshold status, 43*t*
- WBA (weekly benefit amount) variable, 36
- W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research
 - Frontline Decision Support System, 19, 338–339, 395
 - Welfare-to-Work profiling, 15–16
- Weekly benefit amount variable, 36
- Welfare recipients, 14–17, 20–21, 221–280
 - characteristics useful for targeting, 256–257
 - definition of “high or at-risk,” 257, 279–280
 - demographic characteristics of national sample, 246–247, 248*t*
 - employability model for, 370–373
 - job retention and advancement services, 16–17, 245–280
 - job retention rates, 221–222, 232–240
 - legal employment requirement for, 245–246
 - targeting for training, 20–21
 - trend towards longer employment, 278, 280
 - unique needs of, 271–273, 279–280
 - Work First pilot program, 221–244, 275–276
- Welfare-to-Work (WTW) programs, 14–16, 276, 277
 - variable used for targeting, 303
 - Work First compared with, 221
- WIA. *See* Workforce Investment Act
- WINS. *See* Workforce Investment System
- Wisconsin, WPRS profiling in, 92–95
- Work first philosophy of WIA, 351, 381–382
- Work First pilot program, 221–244, 275–276
 - background, 221–222
 - benefit/cost analysis of profiling/referral system, 236–240
 - definition of successful outcome, 227–228

- Work First pilot program (continued)
 employability groups, 222, 235*t*
 evaluation, 232–236
 evaluation design, 231–232
 evaluation of two most prevalent services, 373–377
 logit estimates, 229*t*
 method of assigning participants to providers, 230–231
 participants, 223
 selected activities of participants, 225*t*
 service providers, 222–223, 225–226, 227
 statistical model of profiling, 227–231
 time length for participation, 225–227
 variables, 224–225*t*
See also Welfare recipients
- Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN), 94
- Worker profiling
 in Australia and U.S. versus Canada, 313–314
 effect in one-stop centers, 391
 FDSS earnings algorithm, 344
 how important?, 86
 for identifying those least likely to exhaust benefits, 98
 Job Search Assistance
 demonstration, 39, 47, 52
 New Jersey UI Reemployment Demonstration Project, 39
 to reduce long term unemployment, 18
 reemployment bonuses, 12, 161–162
 reprofiling, 156
 Self-Employment Assistance program, 9, 138–146, 156–157
 state administrators' opinions, 385–393
 use of screens versus statistical models, 6–7
 uses for UI programs, 138–139
- See also* Statistical models of profiling
See also Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services system
- Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services (WPRS) system, 5–8, 29–109
 accuracy in identifying likely exhausters, 38–46
 Canadian parallel effort, 317, 329–330
 contamination in estimating the model, 44*t*, 46–52, 58–59
 data sources about, 62, 105
 data systems developed for, 68–69, 79–80, 90
 evaluation of, 61–90, 99
 funding, 71, 93–94, 95–96, 97
 impact, 106
 implementation and operation, 63–71
 initial development, 31–32
 legal requirements, 61, 104
 outcomes, 72–76, 79–80
 profiling effect on staffing, 8
 profiling methods, 6–7, 30–38, 64, 87–90, 96, 98
 purpose, 30
 reasons for lack of impact, 84–85, 101
 reasons for success, 92
 recommendations for, 77–80
 state administrators' opinions, 71, 91–101
 using with reemployment bonus offers, 162, 178
See also Reemployment services
- Workforce Investment Act (WIA)
 effects, 1, 337
 implementation, 86
 individual training accounts, 215
 performance indicators, 4, 100, 160
 precursor to, 104
 relationship to SEA programs, 150
 services, 3, 4*t*

- services appropriate for WPRS
 - claimants, 78
 - work first principle, 351, 381–382
 - See also* Frontline Decision Support System
 - See also* One-stop centers
- Workforce Investment Areas, 340
- Workforce Investment System (WINS), 392
- WPLEX, 373
- WPRS system. *See* Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services system
- WTW. *See* Welfare-to-Work programs
- Youth Opportunities Unlimited (YOU), 226, 230, 232, 233*t*, 234*t*, 236